UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,793	03/03/2004	Helena Corneliusson	1018798-000214	4388
	7590 04/08/200 INGERSOLL & ROOI	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE BOX 1404			REICHLE, KARIN M	
ALEXANDRIA	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/08/2008	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/790,793	CORNELIUSSON, HELENA		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Karin M. Reichle	3761		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under A	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 and 15 is/are pending in the appear 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 and 15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 04 March 2008 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 3761

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3-19-08 has been entered.

### Specification

#### Drawings

- 2. The drawings were received on 12-21-06 and 3-19-08. These drawings are not approved. See following paragraph, i.e. not only did the 12-21-06 and 3-19-08 drawings not overcome the previously presented objections, e.g. the lines from 10 and 11 are not shown dashed, but also raise new objections, e.g. the barriers relative to the absorbent in Figure 4 cross-section of Figures 1-3 do not correspond to barriers relative to the absorbent as shown in Figures 1-3, e.g. too far inboard. Therefore see the following paragraph.
- 3. The drawings are objected to because Figure 4 and the description thereof in paragraph 12 appear to be inconsistent, i.e. the Figures are missing layers (Note discussion infra). In Figure 1, the line from 4 should be dashed to denote underlying structure. This also applies to the lines from 10, 11, 10b, 11b, 14-15, 14b-15b, 17 and 20. These last objections also apply to

Art Unit: 3761

similar denotations in Figures 2 and 3. Additionally it also applies to the line from 21 in Figure 2. . Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Language Interpretation

4. Since no terminology has been explicitly defined, such will be given its usual, e.g. dictionary definition. It is however noted that the claims no longer require the barrier be a separate component, i.e. can be monolithically formed with upper and lower cover sheets. It is also noted that the terminology "near" is considered relative absent claiming specific dimensions/distances. It is also noted that the elastic element of each barrier is not required to be at or near the fold along its entire length.

Art Unit: 3761

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

6. Claims 1-3, and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suzuki et al JP '607.

Claims 1-3 and 11-12: See Figures 2-5 and Figures 7-8 (the last two Figures show alternative embodiments at the same cross -section as that of Figure 4), and the English abstract of '607, i.e. '607 teaches an absorbent article defining a longitudinal direction, a front portion adjacent 4b in the longitudinal direction, a rear portion adjacent 6, and a middle portion along III-III arranged between said portions and having an upper, liquid-permeable cover sheet 1, a lower, liquid-impermeable cover sheet 2, an absorption body 3 arranged between the cover sheets, and first and second side barriers 4 along respective longitudinal sides, each side barrier in turn comprising at least one longitudinal elastic element 5, the first and second side barriers, viewed from above, defining a shape which narrows in the direction towards said front portion so that the distance, in the transverse direction of the article, between the elastic elements, is greater in said rear portion than in said front portion, see Figure 2, and each side barrier is arranged such that, when it is secured in contact with the front portion, see Figure 5, it defines a folded structure of substantially the same shaped cross section as shown in Figure 4 of the instant application, see Figure 5 again, or a Z-shape, i.e. in combination with the upper cover sheet, see Figures 2-3 and 5 (Note the shape in the front portion also lies between lines III and IV) and Figures 7-8, with a fold adjacent 10 or 12 directed towards the inside of said article. The side barriers are secured to the cover sheet so that respective first and second longitudinal folds are

Art Unit: 3761

defined, see Figures 3-5 adjacent 9 or 10 and 11 and Figures 7-8 adjacent 12. The elastic element in the side barriers, viewed from above, are secured to the rear portion outside their respective folds, see Figure 4 between 10 and 11 and similar locations in Figures 7-8. The elastic elements run at least partially in contact with their respective folds, see element 5 in Figure 5, with respect to the fold adjacent 10 and see element 5 in Figure 4 adjacent the fold adjacent 11. Finally the first and second side barriers by themselves constitute a combined side leakage protection and leg elastic for the article.

Each side barrier may be monolithically formed or not monolithically formed from the upper and lower cover sheets, see Figures 3-5 and also see Figures 7 and 8, especially Figure 7, which show other portions of the barrier including the entire barrier being not monolithically formed with the cover sheets.

The claims now require the at lest one elastic element of each side barrier is positioned at or near the fold directed towards the inside of the article, see discussion of claim 11 supra, i.e. the elastic elements run at least partially in contact with their respective folds, see element 5 in Figure 5, with respect to the fold adjacent 10, i.e. at and/or "near", and the Claim Language Interpretation section supra, i.e. the distance defined by the terminology "near" is considered relative, i.e. see element 5 in Figures.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,793

Art Unit: 3761

8. Claims 4-7, 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki '607 in view of Robertson '364 and Olsson '732.

Page 6

Claims 4-6 further require a rear barrier formed in said rear portion, which rear barrier is intended for taking up bodily excretions in the direction rearwards along the article, and includes a further elastic element having attachment points which, viewed from above, at least extend outside rear attachment points of the elastic elements in the side barriers such that a barrier is defined along both the longitudinal sides and rear side of the article. While Suzuki '607 does not teach such a rear barrier, it does teach side barriers, i.e. suggests the desire for barriers/leakage prevention. However, see Robertson '364 at the Figures and col. 3, lines 9-33, the paragraph bridging cols. 4-5, col. 12, line 23-col. 13, line 50, e.g. elastic film of laminate is an elastic element, and col. 17, line 45-col. 18, line 8 and Olsson et al '732 at the Figures, especially 1 and 9, col. 11, lines 63-66 and the abstract which teach a rear barrier formed in the rear portion for taking up bodily excretions in the direction rearwards and includes an elastic element having attachment points which, viewed from above, at least extend outside rear attachment points of elastic elements in the side barriers such that a barrier is defined along both the longitudinal sides and rear side of the article. Therefore to employ a rear barrier such as, for example, taught by '364 and '732 on the '607 device would be obvious to one of ordinary in the art in view of the recognition that such a feature in combination with side barriers improves the ability of the article to prevent leakage and the desire suggested by '607.

Claims 7 and 13 require each side barrier include two elastic elements one extending outside the other as viewed in relation to a longitudinal axis of symmetry through the article and the elastic elements consisting of elastic threads. While '607 does not show such, it does show a

Page 7

single elastic strip. Furthermore, for example, '364 at col. 11, lines 41-62 and '732 at col. 7, lines 42-45 teach the interchangeability of parallel elastic threads for a strip or film. Therefore to make the elastic strip of each side barrier of '607 two elastic threads instead would be obvious in view of the interchangeability as taught by '364 and '732. In so doing the prior art teaches the structure of claims 7 and 13.

Claim 15: See the discussion of claims 1 and 4-6 supra.

9. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki '607 in view of Sageser et al '428.

Claims 8-10 require the distance between the elastic elements of the side barriers is at least two times greater at the said rear portion than at the front portion or the distance between the elastic elements of the side barriers is at least three times greater at the rear portion than at the front portion or the distance between parts of the elastic elements the side barriers nearest to the inside of the article or is within the range of 1 - 3 cm at said front portion, respectively. Note paragraphs 33-34 of the instant application. While the claimed distance as seen in Figures 3 and 5 is approximately two times greater and there is a space at the front portion the '607 reference does not explicitly teach the claimed distances. Also note again Suzuki '607 does teach side barriers, i.e. suggests the desire for barriers/leakage prevention. However, see '428 at col. 7, lines 18-42 and col. 7, line 66-col. 8, line 50, i.e. spacing apart of the edges, i.e. elastics, of inwardly folded front portions of side barriers a distance of -2.5 cm to 15 cm combined with outwardly folded or flipped out rear portions of side barriers provides a better fit to the contours of the wearers body which reduces leakage (Note that if the front edges as shown in Figure 1 were spaced about next to each other, i.e. 0 cm, the spacing in the rear would clearly be at least 3 times that in the front, as shown the spacing in the rear is about 2-3 times the spacing in the front.). Therefore, to employ the spacing as taught by Sageser '428, i.e. as claimed, on the '607 device, if not already, would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the recognition that such would provide improved fit with the body resulting leakage prevention and the desire suggested by '607.

### Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's remarks have been carefully considered but are either deemed moot in that they have not been repeated or are deemed not persuasive for the reasons set forth supra, e.g. because such are narrower than the claim language and/or the teachings of the prior art. For example, Suzuki does teach an elastic member at or "near" the fold as now claimed.

#### Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. A full translation of '607 is made of record.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karin M. Reichle whose telephone number is (571) 272-4936. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Karin M. Reichle/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3761

March 27, 2008